

N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/751,801 12/29/2000 Scott D. Leapman 1957 5937 30408 03/08/2006 **EXAMINER** 7590 GATEWAY, INC. LASTRA, DANIEL ATTN: PATENT ATTORNEY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 610 GATEWAY DR. MAIL DROP Y-04 3622

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	* 2
. Office Action Summary	09/751,801	09/751,801 LEAPMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 December 2005.		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alle		ers, prosecution as to the merite	s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-22 and 24-49</u> is/are pending	g in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-22 and 24-49 is/are rejected	d.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	-	•	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		-	
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/751,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5, 7-22 and 24-49 have been examined. Application 09/751,801 (SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR TARGETED ADVERTISING) has a filing date 12/29/2000.

Response to Amendment

2. In response to Non Final Rejection filed 09/30/2005, Applicant filed a Request for reconsideration on 12/27/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-22 and 24-43 and 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dowling</u> (US 6,522,875) in view of <u>Scimone</u> (US 6,647,410).

As per claims 1, 14, 19 and 28, Dowling teaches:

A method for broadcast advertising to a mobile communication device, comprising the steps of:

storing acceptance data in the communication device (see <u>Dowling</u> column 9, line 40 – column 10, line 40);

Art Unit: 3622

modifying the acceptance data by integrating at least one entry (see <u>Dowling</u> column 9, lines 40-65; figure 2, item 105; column 14, line 57 - column 15, line 10) but fails to teach from a personal information manager. However, Scimone teaches a personal digital assistance computer (see Scimone column 5, lines 44-47) with personal information manager software (see Scimone figure 600 "Microsoft Outlook" column 7, lines 60-65). Users of said PDAs use said PMI software to enter preference data (see Scimone column 8, lines 45-50), which is used to filter the information that is transmitted to said users (see Scimone column 9, lines 5-15). Dowling teaches in column 17, lines 60-67 that "In another example the mobile unit 105 is implemented as a palm-pilot or personal digital assistant computer". Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Dowling's PDA mobile device would have a PIM software installed in said device, as taught by Scimone in view that said PIM software would be able to search and obtain external data from the Internet (see Scimone column 21, lines 50-55) and also in view that said PIM software would allow users to filter said external data that would be transmitted to said users in said PDA, as taught by Scimone. Dowling would have been motivated to include a PIM software in a PDA device in view of society's demand for virtually instantaneous access to information and to make it easier to access and input data into said mobile device.

Dowling teaches:

receiving by the communication device a broadcast advertisement containing advertisement data and comparing the advertisement data to the acceptance data to obtain a comparison result (see <u>Dowling</u> column 9, line 40 – column 10, line 40)

wherein the step of modifying the acceptance data includes converting the at least one entry from an input device into at least one product that corresponds to the at least one entry, and adding the at least one product to the acceptance data to accept advertisements for the at least one product (see Dowling column 9, lines 40-65; column 14, lines 57-67).

As per claims 2, 16, 20, 30 and 32, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the broadcast advertisement is received by the communication device through a wireless communication channel (see column 8, lines 25-45).

As per claims 3 and 21, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 2, wherein the broadcast advertisement is received by the communication device through one of: a radio transmission, a television transmission, a Bluetooth signal, and an infrared signal (see column 8, lines 25-35).

As per claim 4, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the broadcast advertisement is received by the communication device from one of a billboard and a storefront (see column 10, lines 10-40; column 12, lines 60-67).

As per claims 5, 22 and 31, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the acceptance data comprises preferences for accepting broadcast advertisements specified by a user of the communication device (see column 9, line 40 – column 10, line 39).

As per claims 7, 18 and 24, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of displaying the broadcast advertisement on the communication device based on the comparison result (see column 9, line 40 – column 10, line 40).

As per claims 8 and 25, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of storing the broadcast advertisement on the communication device based on the comparison result (see column 10, lines 10-40; column 12, lines 24-31).

As per claim 9, <u>Dowling</u> teaches the method of claim 8, but fails to teach further comprising the steps of: reading deletion data in a stored advertisement, wherein the deletion data indicates criteria for deleting the stored advertisement; and deleting the stored advertisement from the communication device based on the deletion data. However, <u>Scimone</u> teaches a PDA device with personal Information manager software (i.e. Outlook) and where said software deletes items from said PDAs (see <u>Scimone</u> column 13, lines 30-35). Therefore, <u>Dowling</u> would be motivated to indicate criteria of deleting data in order to free memory space from said PDA's device and allows new advertisements to be stored in said device.

As per claim 10, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of outputting a notification signal to a user of the communication device if comparison of the advertisement data to the acceptance data is accepted (see column 11, lines 25-54).

As per claims 11, 15 and 29, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of sending an indicator signal to a source of the broadcast advertisement, wherein the indicator signal notifies the source that the communication device is within a broadcast range of the broadcast advertisement (see column 11, lines 25-40).

As per claims 12 and 26, <u>Dowling</u> teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of communicating through the communication device with a wireless positioning system (see column 11, lines 25-40).

As per claims 13 and 27, <u>Dowling</u> teaches:

The method of claim 12, wherein the wireless positioning system is GPS (see column 11, lines 25-40).

As per claims 17 and 48, <u>Dowling</u> teaches the method of claim 14, but fails to teach further comprising the step of modifying the preferences for selecting advertisements specified by the user of the communication device by integrating entries from a personal information manager. However, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 17.

Claim 33 contains the same limitation as claims 1, 5 and 7 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 34 contains the same limitation as claim 11 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 35 contains the same limitation as claim 2 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 36 contains the same limitation as claim 5 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 37 contains the same limitation as claim 12 therefore the same rejection is applied.

As per claim 38, <u>Dowling</u> teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the advertisement data of the broadcast advertisement is capable of generating a display of an advertisement on the communication device (see column 4, lines 45-62).

As per claim 39, <u>Dowling</u> teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the advertisement data of the broadcast advertisement is capable of generating a display of an advertisement on the communication device without the communication device receiving additional data (see column 9, lines 55-65).

As per claim 40, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of displaying an advertisement on the communication device generated from the advertisement data if the comparison result indicates that the broadcast advertisement meets the acceptance data (see column 9, lines 40-65).

As per claim 41, <u>Dowling</u> teaches:

The method of claim 40, further comprising the step of rejecting the broadcast advertisement for display on the communication device if the comparison result indicates that the broadcast advertisement does not meet the acceptance data (see column 9, lines 40-65).

As per claim 42, **Dowling** teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the advertisement data includes at least one of: a company name, a brand name, information about a product, information about a service, price information, and a deadline for a special offer (see column 4, lines 20-30).

As per claim 43, **Dowling** teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the acceptance data includes at least one of: a company name, a brand name, product information, service information, price information, and a deadline for a special offer (see column 4, lines 20-30).

As per claim 45, <u>Dowling</u> teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the step of storing the acceptance data on the communication device comprises manually entering the acceptance data on the communication device by the user (see column 17, lines 45-60).

As per claim 46, **Dowling** teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the step of storing the acceptance data on the communication device comprises selecting by the user the acceptance data from a predetermined list of acceptance data on the communication device (see column 10, lines 59-61).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,801

Art Unit: 3622

٠

As per claim 47, **Dowling** teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the step of storing the acceptance data on the communication device comprises selecting by the user acceptance data from a template of acceptance data on the communication device (see column 10, lines 55-61; column 11, lines 25-54).

As per claim 49, <u>Dowling</u> teaches:

The method of claim 48, wherein the data from the personal information, manager includes an event, and the acceptance data created from the data from the personal information manager regarding the event causes acceptance of broadcast advertisements related to the event (see <u>Dowling</u> column 11, lines 1-54; column 14, lines 57-67).

4. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dowling</u> et al (U.S. 6,522,875) in view of <u>Scimone</u> (US 6,647,410) and further in view of McAuliffe et al (U.S. 5,838,790).

As per claim 44, Dowling teaches:

The method of claim 1, but fails to teach wherein the broadcast advertisement includes an expiration date upon which the broadcast advertisement is purged from the communication device. However, <u>McAuliffe</u> teaches a system where ads are purged from the communication device upon detecting that the ad had expired (see <u>McAuliffe</u> figure 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that <u>Dowling</u> would delete the ads from the mobile unit upon detecting that the ads had expired, as taught by McAuliffe. Dowling

Application/Control Number: 09/751,801

Art Unit: 3622

would have been motivated to delete the ads stored in a mobile device upon detecting

that said ads had expired in order to free memory space from said mobile device and

allows new advertisements to be stored in said device.

Response to Arguments

Page 10

5. Applicant's arguments, filed 12/27/2005, with respect to "converting at least one

entry from the PIM into at least one product and adding the at least one product to the

acceptance data" have been fully considered and is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection

has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection

is made in view of **Dowling** and **Scimone**.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-

6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ERIC W. STAMBER can be reached on 571-272-6724. The Examiner's

Right fax number is 571-273-6720.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Lastra

 $() \cup$

February 19, 2006

RETTA YEHDEGA PRIMARY EXAMINED